

**EXHIBIT B**

**Redline**

Privileged & Confidential  
Attorney Work Product

### Exhibit 1 - Litigation Claims

In re Core Scientific, Inc., *et al.*  
Case No. 22-90341 (CML), Jointly Administered

COUNT	NAME	CLAIM NUMBER	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT	POST-PETITION INTEREST <sup>1</sup>	MAXIMUM DISPUTED AMOUNT <sup>1</sup>
1.	Harlin Dean	364	\$8,000,000	\$508,584	\$8,508,584
	<b>Total</b>		<b>\$8,000,000</b>	<b>\$508,584</b>	<b>\$8,508,584</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes Post-Petition Interest at the Federal Judgement Rate of 4.64% through 4/30/2024.

Privileged & Confidential  
Attorney Work Product**Exhibit 2 - Rejection Damages Claims**In re Core Scientific, Inc., *et al.*  
Case No. 22-90341 (CML), Jointly Administered

COUNT	NAME	CLAIM NUMBER	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT	POST-PETITION INTEREST <sup>1</sup>	MAXIMUM DISPUTED AMOUNT <sup>1</sup>
1.	GEM Mining 1, LLC	647	\$5,897,312	\$298,992	\$6,196,304
2.	GEM Mining 4, LLC	648	\$2,163,763	\$109,702	\$2,273,465
3.	Another Crypto	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0
4.	Avnet, Inc.	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0
5.	CrossCountry Consulting LLC	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0
6.	Egencia LLC <sup>2</sup>	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0
7.	EMO North Customers Brokers Ltd	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0
8.	Ernst & Young LLP	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0
	<b>Total</b>		<b>\$8,061,074</b>	<b>\$408,694</b>	<b>\$8,469,769</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes Post-Petition Interest at the Federal Judgement Rate of 4.64% through 1/23/2024.

<sup>2</sup> The Debtors submit that the 2018 Travel Services Agreement, by and between Core Scientific, Inc. and Egencia LLC., was terminated and replaced by the postpetition 2023 agreement and thus the 2018 agreement is not an Executory Contract subject to assumption or rejection pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code. However, to the extent the Court determines that the 2018 agreement is an Executory Contract, the Debtors intend to reject it and thus have included it in the Schedule of Rejected Contracts out of an abundance of caution.

Privileged & Confidential  
Attorney Work Product**Exhibit 3 - 510(b) Claims**In re Core Scientific, Inc., et al.  
Case No. 22-90341 (CML), Jointly Administered

COUNT	NAME	CLAIM NUMBER	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT	MAXIMUM CLAIM AMOUNT (AS OF PETITION DATE)	POST-PETITION INTEREST <sup>1</sup>	MAXIMUM DISPUTED AMOUNT <sup>1</sup>
<del>1.</del>	<del>Mitchell Edwards<sup>2</sup></del>	<del>334</del>	<del>\$22,000,000</del>	<del>\$4,444,422</del>	<del>\$282,546</del>	<del>\$4,726,968</del>
<del>2.</del>	<del>Charles-Basil<sup>2</sup></del>	<del>336</del>	<del>\$3,500,330</del>	<del>\$707,067</del>	<del>\$44,950</del>	<del>\$752,017</del>
3.	FLORIDA SBA TTEE	384	\$395,715	\$395,715	\$25,157	\$420,871
4.	Christopher Elliott Scott	320	\$359,512	\$359,512	\$22,855	\$382,368
5.	Bay Colony Law Center LLC	241	\$151,474	\$151,474	\$9,630	\$161,104
6.	Ani Kamali	229	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$9,536	\$159,536
7.	Francois Emmanuel Veilleux	555	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$4,768	\$79,768
8.	Robert Joseph	351	\$39,390	\$39,390	\$2,504	\$41,894
9.	Marvin W. Meyer	249	\$22,118	\$22,118	\$1,406	\$23,524
10.	Jason Walters [Jason Walters IRA]	311	\$21,193	\$21,193	\$1,347	\$22,540
11.	Ann A. Meyer	248	\$16,044	\$16,044	\$1,020	\$17,064
12.	Ann A. Meyer	247	\$15,241	\$15,241	\$969	\$16,210
13.	Marvin W. Meyer	250	\$12,848	\$12,848	\$817	\$13,665
14.	Michael & Elizabeth Silbergleid TRS FBO Silverknight Group Inc 401k Roth Plan FBO Michael Silbergleid	81	\$7,963	\$7,963	\$506	\$8,470
15.	Chad Dickman	349	\$4,045	\$4,045	\$257	\$4,302
16.	Thrasivoulos Dimitriou	52	\$3,104	\$3,104	\$197	\$3,301
17.	Alexandra Seifert	431	\$2,482	\$2,482	\$158	\$2,640
18.	Carrington Lobban	54	\$1,093	\$1,093	\$69	\$1,162
19.	Cori Faerman	212	\$81	\$81	\$5	\$86
20.	Cori Faerman	211	\$38	\$38	\$2	\$40
21.	Michael & Elizabeth Silbergleid TRS FBO Silverknight Group Inc 401k Plan FBO Michael Silbergleid	82	\$27	\$27	\$2	\$29
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$1,277,368</b>	<b>\$6,428,856</b>	<b>\$81,206</b>	<b>\$1,358,574</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes Post-Petition Interest at the Federal Judgement Rate of 4.64% through 4/30/2024.<sup>2</sup> The Debtors intend to object this claim and believe such claim should be \$0.00. The amounts reserved for this claim represent the Debtors' estimate of the maximum amount of such claim if the Debtors' are unsuccessful in their objection.

Privileged & Confidential  
Attorney Work Product**Exhibit 4 - Other Disputed Claims**In re Core Scientific, Inc., et al.  
Case No. 22-90341 (CML), Jointly Administered

COUNT	NAME <sup>4</sup>	CLAIM NUMBER	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT	POST-PETITION INTEREST <sup>1</sup>	MAXIMUM DISPUTED AMOUNT <sup>1</sup>
1.	Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company <sup>5</sup>	34	\$8,026,733	\$510,284	\$8,537,017
2.	Kentucky Department of Revenue <sup>3</sup>	176	\$4,021,412	\$62,154	\$1,039,840
3.	GEM Mining 2, LLC	570	\$2,862,275	\$181,964	\$3,044,238
4.	GEM Mining 1, LLC	617	\$560,254	\$35,617	\$595,871
5.	GEM Mining 4, LLC	572	\$403,278	\$25,638	\$428,916
6.	GEM Mining 2 B, LLC	571	\$269,236	\$17,116	\$286,352
7.	Foundry Digital LLC [DCG Foundry LLC] <sup>2</sup>	393	\$0	\$0	\$0
8.	Foundry Digital LLC [DCG Foundry LLC] <sup>2</sup>	394	\$0	\$0	\$0
9.	Arch Insurance Company <sup>2</sup>	484	\$0	\$0	\$0
	<b>Total</b>		<b>\$8,116,454</b>	<b>\$322,489</b>	<b>\$5,395,217</b>
			<b>\$16,143,187</b>	<b>\$832,773</b>	<b>\$13,932,233</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes Post-Petition Interest at the Federal Judgement Rate of 4.64% through 4/30/2024.<sup>2</sup> Unliquidated Claim<sup>3</sup> Priority Tax Claim. To the extent such Claim is allowed, such Claim will be paid in cash and not New Common Interests. Asserted Priority portions of claimed amount have been removed.<sup>4</sup> Certain current and former members of the Debtors' management and board of directors filed contingent and unliquidated indemnification claims against the Debtors at Proof of Claim Nos. 365, 368, 376, 369, 395, 406, 507, 509, 511, 512, and 515. The Maximum Disputed Amount for such claims will be established at \$0.

~~<sup>5</sup> The Company expects this claim to be settled for an amount less than the amount indicated under the column "Maximum Disputed Amount" and intends to file a motion seeking Court approval of such settlement. If such claim settlement is approved by the Court, such claimholder will receive its distribution on account of its claim on or about the Effective Date, pursuant to the Plan and the terms of such settlement. To the extent such settlement is not approved by the Court, the Debtors shall reserve for issuance, or be deemed to have issued, shares for such claim in an amount equal to the Maximum Disputed Amount.~~